UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,552	05/26/2006 ·	Beverley Brown	MERCK-3181	5966
23599 MILLEN, WH	7590 02/08/2008 ITE 7ELANO & RDAN		EXAM	IINER
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			NWAONICHA, CHUKWUMA O	
SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON.	ITE 1400 LINGTON, VA 22201 ART UNIT PAPER NUM		PAPER NUMBER	
			1621	
,				
•		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/580,552	BROWN ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha	1621
The MAILIN	G DATE of this communicat	ion appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
WHICHEVER IS L - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	ONGER, FROM THE MAIL be available under the provisions of 37 from the mailing date of this communical specified above, the maximum statutor is set or extended period for reply will, I	REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MO ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repation. The period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH by statute, cause the application to become ABAI the mailing date of this communication, even if times.	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•		
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed or	n 13 November 2007	
2a) ☐ This action i	` '	☐ This action is non-final.	•
· <u> </u>	• –	allowance except for formal matter	s prosecution as to the merits is
		inder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	·
Disposition of Claims			
	8 is/are pending in the appli	ication	
	•	rithdrawn from consideration.	
	nd 3-20 is/are allowed.	The second secon	:
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	is/are objected to.		
·		and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers			
_	tion is objected to by the Ex	raminer	
10)☐ The drawing(☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by	the Examiner
		to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	
	, , ,	correction is required if the drawing(s)	
	• • • •	the Examiner. Note the attached (
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119		
•	nent is made of a claim for f Some * c)⊡ None of:	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
1.⊠ Certifi	ed copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.	
2. Certifi	ed copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in App	olication No
3.☐ Copie	s of the certified copies of th	ne priority documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage
applic	ation from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attach	ned detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified copies not re	ceived.
	·		
Attachment(s)	O''	"	(DTO 442)
 Notice of References D Notice of Draftsperso 	Cited (PTO-892) n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	4) Interview Sur Paper No(s)/l	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date
3) 🛛 Information Disclosur	e Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) L Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	e <u>12/13/2007</u> .	6) Other:	

10/580,552 Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Current Status

- 1. This action is responsive to Applicants' amendment of 13 November 2007.
- 2. Receipt and entry of Applicants' amendment is acknowledged.
- 3. Claims 1-28 are pending in the application.
- 4. The 103 rejections have been withdrawn following Applicants amendment.
- 5. The statutory type double patenting rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 11 of the co-pending application 11/671,877 has been withdrawn because application # 11/671,877 does not teach all the claim limitations of the present application. However, applicants have filed a Terminal Disclaimer over 11/671,877 rendering the obviousness type rejection moot.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 2 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-31 of copending Application 10/580,552 Art Unit: 1621

No. 11/822,594 in view of Brown et al. This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

The presently claimed 2,4-pyrimidinediamine compound is disclosed in U.S. application No. 11/822,594.

Applicants claim a 2,4-pyrimidinediamine compound; wherein all the variables are as defined in the claims while application No. 11/822,594 teaches a 2,4-pyrimidinediamine compound; wherein all the variables are as defined in the claims. See claims 1-31 of copending application No. 11/822,594.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the scope of the claims overlaps substantially with the scope of claims 1-31 of copending application No. 11/822,594, and the 2,4-pyrimidinediamine compound of the presently claimed invention encompasses the 2,4-pyrimidinediamine compounds of the copending application No. 11/822,594. They differ in that the 2,4-pyrimidinediamine compounds of the copending application No. 11/822,594 is broader in scope than the 2,4-pyrimidinediamine compound in the presently claimed invention. Specifically, application No. 11/822,594 teaches 2,4-pyrimidinediamine compounds wherein at least one pair of R_2 and R_3 , R_9 and R_{10} of formula 8 in application No. 11/822,594 is cross-bridged with each other to form a C4-C40 saturated or unsaturated ring that is interrupted by an oxygen atom, a sulphur atom or a group shown by formula: -N(R_a)-. The difference in the claims is not a patentable distinction because application No. 11/822,594 teach the elements of the claimed invention with sufficient guidance,

Application/Control Number:

10/580,552 Art Unit: 1621

particularity, and with a reasonable expectation of success, that the invention would be *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Allowed Claims

Claims 1, 3-28 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Reason For Allowance

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: A search of the prior art failed to uncover any reference that anticipates or renders obvious an organic semiconducting layer formulation with an active ingredient of the general formula I as claimed by applicants; wherein all the variables are as defined in the claims.

The closest prior art is Minakata, {US 7,061,010}. Minakata teaches an organic semiconductor thin film suitably employed in electronics, photonics, bioelectronics, or the like, and a method for forming the same. Minakata teaching relates to a solution for an organic semiconductor used to form the organic semiconductor thin film and an organic semiconductor device using the organic semiconductor thin film.

Minakata teaches the use of a substituted polyacene in its pure, crystalline state in a semiconductor layer while applicants claim a organic semiconducting layer formulation. These are two different inventions, and the differences are not readily apparent and would not have been suggested to one of ordinary skill.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number:

10/580,552

Art Unit: 1621

571-272-2908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:30am

to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 5

supervisor, Yvonne (Bonnie) Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chukwuma O. Nwaonicha, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 1621

Yvonne (Bonnie) Eyler

Supervisory Patent Examiner,

Technology Center 1600